

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/054,683	<b>Applicant(s)</b> OLD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1642	

**All Participants:**

(1) MISOOK YU, Ph.D.

(2) John Van Amsterdam.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 12 January 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**


**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Claims 16-19 are drawn to cell expressing a protein, which belongs to group XIII in the Restriction Requirement. Applicant's representative agreed the claims 16-19 be withdrawn from the examination on merits.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**MISOOK YU  
PATENT EXAMINER**

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)